



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 12 1990

Ch...
Pls Review and
lets discuss
Monday
1-22-90
gpi
OFFICE OF
WATER

Please Sign me
on this -
Don
1/18

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Osage Mineral Reserve UIC Program Regulations Revisions
(SAR 2765) - Second Draft

FROM: Donald M. Olson, Chair
Osage Regulations Revision Workgroup (WH-550E)

TO: Workgroup Members

Attached is the second draft of the proposed revisions to Subpart GGG that includes comments from our workgroup meeting held on January 11, 1990. Please review this draft and let me know if it accurately reflects your oral and written comments by January 26, 1990. I will be happy to take any corrections by phone at (FTS) 382-5558.

If there are no significant comments requiring discussion by the entire workgroup, I will proceed with Red Border review after I have made any minor editorial changes.

Thank you for your assistance.

Attachment

Addresses

Osage UIC Program Revision Workgroup

Alan Morrissey	OECM-Water	LE-134W
Randy Hill	OGC-Water	LW-132W
Roberta Perry	OPPE	PM-221
Martin Topper	OFA	A-104
Gus Chavarria	Region 6, UIC	6-W

cc: Kitty Miller, OW (WH-556)

RECEIVED
JAN 17 1990
EPA 6W-S
REGION VI

DRAFT

JAN 12 1990

40 CFR Part 147

[FRL- -]

Osage Mineral Reserve Underground Injection Control Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency

ACTION: Proposed Rule

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing amendments to its Underground Injection Control (UIC) program regulations for the Osage Mineral Reserve in Oklahoma (40 CFR Part 147, Subpart GGG) to allow the Regional Administrator flexibility to waive certain technical requirements for temporary abandoned wells and to designate a portion of the Happy Hollow and Z-Sand aquifers in western Osage County, Oklahoma as exempted aquifers. The proposed changes will provide the Regional Administrator with the same flexibility that presently exists in the generic Federal UIC regulations (see 40 CFR §§ 144.28(c)(2)(iv) and 144.52(a)(6)) to waive certain technical requirements applicable to active injection wells upon a demonstration by the owner or operator that the well will not endanger underground sources of drinking water (USDWs) during the period of temporary abandonment. The aquifer exemptions would be limited to injection of water of a quality equal to or better than that contained in the proposed exempted aquifer.

DATE: EPA will accept public comment on the proposed rule until (insert date 45 days from the date of publication in the Federal Register); a public hearing will be held on _____, at _____; requests to present oral testimony must

be received on or before _____. EPA reserves the right to forego the hearing if sufficient public interest is not expressed.

ADDRESSES: Comments, requests to testify, and inquiries concerning the Public Docket should be addressed to Comment Clerk, Underground Injection Control Branch, State Programs Division, Office of Drinking Water (WH-550E), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. The docket for today's proposal will be available for public inspection and copying in 1140 East Tower at EPA Headquarters and EPA's Region VI Office, Room Suite 1200, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202.

~~The hearing will be held at the following location:~~

Tri-County Technical School, 6101 SE Nowata Road, Bartlesville, OK 74006, Telephone: Sidney Favi at (918) 333-2422.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald M. Olson, Underground Injection Control Branch, State Programs Division, Office of Drinking Water (WH-550E), EPA, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460, Telephone: (202) 382-5530.

SUPPLEMENT INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) authorizes EPA to regulate underground injection activity on all lands in the United States, including Indian lands. (EPA has adopted the definition of "Indian country" found at 18 U.S.C. 1151, as the definition of "Indian lands" for the Direct Implementation UIC

Handwritten note:
 That, if a hearing is necessary, Tri-County has to be notified a lot of time to come prepare to conduct hearing.
 Mon.

program. It is set forth in full at 40 CFR § 144.3).

In September 1983, (48 FR 40100 **et seq.**) EPA proposed four alternative approaches to promulgating UIC programs on Indian lands in States with approved State-administered programs: (1) Implement a program consisting of current UIC minimum requirements; (2) implement a program consisting of requirements patterned after State requirements from the approved program applicable to the rest of the State; (3) adopt a combination of the minimum UIC requirements and the approved State program requirements; or (4) develop unique requirements in response to Indian concerns or other special circumstances. After reviewing comments, EPA published its intent to use any of the four proposed options appropriate to the individual case when implementing programs for Indian lands in primacy States (49 FR 20140, May 11, 1984 **et seq.**).

On November 15, 1984 (49 FR 45292 **et seq.**) EPA promulgated a UIC program for Class II wells on the Osage Mineral Reserve in Oklahoma. The Osage program was designed according to a combination of Options (3) and (4), and consisted of requirements drawn from the UIC minimum requirements regulations, the Oklahoma Corporation Commission regulations, the Bureau of Indian Affairs regulations, and unique requirements developed to reflect Indian concerns. A program to regulate Class I, III, IV, and V wells for the Osage Mineral reserve and other Indian lands in Oklahoma was developed separately and promulgated on October 25, 1988 (53 FR 43096 **et seq.**).

II. Existing Osage Mineral Reserve Program

The UIC program for Class II wells on the Osage Mineral Reserve consists of the basic program elements contained in the Federal UIC minimum requirements: general program requirements, program requirements and technical standards for wells authorized by rule, program requirements and technical standards for wells authorized by permit, and procedural requirements (including public participation) for the permitting process. Although the format or means of administration of these standards varies from the minimum requirements, the substantive standards themselves are equivalent to the minimum requirements with only few and limited exceptions.

Some variations result from the attempts to maintain consistency with the program of the Oklahoma Corporation Commission applicable in the rest of the State. Although that program has been approved by EPA, it does not in every case meet precisely the federal regulations, because strict equivalence is not required of State Class II programs under Section 1425 of the SDWA as long as the program is equally protective of USDWs. Other variations result from conforming the Osage program to the existing BIA program, or to tribal preferences. Section 144.2 of EPA's regulations provides the flexibility to promulgate programs on Indian lands that contain such variations. The major differences, and the rationale for the differences and the proposed modifications to those provisions, are outlined below.

A. Notice of Plugging and Abandonment (Minimum Requirements §§

144.21(c)(4), 144.22(a)(4), 144.51(n), and §§ 144.28(j) and (c)(2)(iii) and 144.52(a)(6); Osage § 147.2905.)

30 EPA's minimum requirements regulations require that wells be plugged when they are abandoned (§ 146.10), but provide that temporary and intermittent cessation shall not be considered abandonment (§§ 144.21(c)(5), 144.22(a)(5), and 144.52(a)(6)). For EPA administered programs generally, EPA has provided that any cessation of injection that extends longer than two years will not be considered "temporary and intermittent," and that, therefore, the well must be plugged unless the owner or operator notifies the Regional Administrator and demonstrates maintenance procedures that will ensure no endangerment of USDWs during the period of abandonment. To achieve consistency with the Oklahoma Corporation Commission's (OCC) requirements that apply elsewhere in Oklahoma, EPA had proposed a six month plugging and abandonment period in Osage County (49 FR 20183, May 11, 1984). However, after receiving and considering comments for and against the six month plugging and abandonment requirements, EPA decided that a well be properly plugged and abandoned within one year of ceasing injection unless the owner or operator demonstrates that the well will be reactivated (49 FR 20238 *et seq.*). OCC subsequently modified its plugging and abandonment requirements to one year and EPA's requirement is presently consistent with the State's.

B. Exempted Aquifers

In the minimum requirements, EPA defines underground sources

of drinking water (USDW) quite broadly, but allows exemptions of certain aquifers from treatment as USDWs if they meet certain criteria in § 146.4 that indicate their unsuitability for use as drinking water. The exemption of an aquifer may allow owners or operators of a class or classes of wells to inject into what would otherwise be afforded protection as a USDW. Section 147.2908 allows the Administrator to exempt an aquifer, or part of an aquifer, if it does not serve as a source of drinking water, and will not in the future serve as a source of drinking water because:

- (1) It is hydrocarbon producing, can be demonstrated by a permit applicant as part of a permit application for a Class II operation to contain hydrocarbons that are expected to be commercially producible (based on historical production or geologic information); or
- (2) It is situated at a depth or location that would make recovery of water for drinking water purposes economically or technically impractical; or
- (3) It is so contaminated that it would be economically or technologically impractical to render that water fit for human consumption.

In addition, aquifers or their portions with total dissolved solids (TDS) content that is more than 3,000 and less than 10,000 mg/l and is not reasonably expected to supply a public water system may be exempted.

EPA classifies aquifer exemptions as either "major" or

"minor." Major exemptions are defined as any exemption of an aquifer containing less than 3,000 mg/l total dissolved solids that is (a) related to any Class I or IV well; or (b) not related to a single permitting action or a single existing enhanced recovery well or project authorized by rule.

All exemptions not defined as major are considered to be minor. Minor exemptions therefore include all exemptions considered as part of a single permitting action. When considered as part of a single permitting action, the permitting process will provide public notice and opportunity for comment and for a hearing, the exemption will be limited to a defined area around the well or facility, and the effect of the exemption will be limited to the activities authorized under the permit. For a single enhanced recovery well or project, EPA will conduct the aquifer exemption process according to the same public participation procedures as provided for permitting actions. Also considered minor exemptions are those approved because the aquifer contains more than 3,000 mg/l total dissolved solids and "is not reasonably expected to supply a public water system" see § 146.4(c). This is consistent with the procedures for EPA approval of these exemptions under approved State-administered programs, for which § 144.7 places a 45-day time limit on EPA approval/disapproval of the exemption.

III. Proposed Revisions to the Osage Mineral Reserve Program

A. Plugging and Abandonment Requirements

EPA is proposing to change the plugging and abandonment

requirements of Section 147.2905(a) to allow an owner/operator to seek to extend the period of temporary abandonment by (i) providing notice to the Regional Administrator and (ii) describing actions or procedures satisfactory to the Regional Administrator that the owner/operator will take to ensure that the well will not endanger USDWs during the period of temporary abandonment. These actions and procedures shall include compliance with the technical requirements applicable to active injection wells unless waived by the Regional Administrator. This change will conform the Osage program to the generic requirements of §§ 144.28 and 144.52.

The Osage program has been in operation for five years, and experience indicates a need for more flexibility in the plugging and abandonment requirements. In times of relative price stability, the costs of oil and gas production versus income can determine the economic life of a field and individual wells. With the downturn in oil prices since late 1983, many fields and wells are not presently in production because production costs exceed revenue. However, the Osage Tribe and the owner/operators wish to preserve existing wells for the day when increased oil prices will support renewed production. The Safe Drinking Water Act instructs EPA to avoid any regulation which unnecessarily impedes the production of oil and gas, as long as USDW's are not endangered. The proposed modification would provide the Regional Administrator with the flexibility to allow such temporary abandonment on a case-by-case basis where there is no threat of

endangerment to USDWs.

The concern with abandoned injection wells is that they may allow fluids to move from the injection zones into USDWs or allow fluids in one formation to migrate into another formation. Proper plugging and abandonment requires setting concrete plugs in the well bore to block any such migration. The concern with a temporarily abandoned well is that over time the casing will corrode and/or be damaged so as to allow fluid migration.

At the time EPA promulgated the Osage program EPA believed that the discretion provided by the generic regulations was not needed in the one county Osage program. In addition, there was a concern to ensure consistency with the State program approved by Oklahoma, and yet not disrupt the program of the BIA or ignore the preferences of the Osage Tribe. EPA now believes, based on five years of direct implementation experience, that the flexibility provided in the generic UIC program regulations to extend the plugging and abandonment requirement is a necessary change to the current Osage program that will not lessen the level of protection presently afforded USDW's. EPA has not experienced any difficulties implementing this requirement in its other 17 direct implementation programs.

B. Aquifer Exemptions

EPA is proposing to designate a portion of the Happy Hollow and Z-Sand aquifers in western Osage County, Oklahoma as exempted aquifers in accordance with 40 CFR 147.2908. The aquifer exemptions would be limited to Class II injection of water of a

For the reasons set out in the preamble, Part 147 of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

Part 147 -- State Underground Injection Control Programs

1. The authority citation for Part 147 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 300f **et seq.**; and 42 U.S.C. 6901 **et seq.**

2. Part 147, Subpart GGG is proposed to be amended by revising the introductory material and § 147.2905(a), adding a new § 147.2905(b) and redesignating paragraphs (b) through (j) as (c) through (k) to read as follows:

§ 147.2905 Plugging and abandonment.

After cessation of operations of one year the owner or operator shall plug and abandon the well in accordance with an EPA approved plan unless he:

(a) Provides notice to the Regional Administrator;

(b) Describes actions or procedures, satisfactory to the Regional Administrator, that the owner or operator will take to ensure that the well will not endanger USDWs during the period of *And will be utilized within a reasonable time* temporary abandonment. These actions and procedures shall include notice to the Regional Administrator within 30 days of injection termination, annual reports on the status of all temporarily abandoned wells, and compliance with the technical requirements applicable to active injection wells unless waived by the Regional Administrator.

* * * * *

3. Section 147.2908 is proposed to be amended by adding a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§147.2908 Aquifer Exemptions.

* * * * *

(c) In Accordance with subsections (a) and (b) of this section, those portions of aquifers described below are hereby exempted for the purpose of Class II injection activity. This exemption applies only to those portions of the Happy Hollow and Z-Sand aquifers defined on the surface by an outer boundary of those quarter sections tabulated below. The portions of the aquifers being exempted are located at a depth below land surface between 500 and 800 feet and the exemption is limited to injection of water of a quality equal to or better than that contained in the exempted aquifers.

LOCATION

T 25, R 6E - NW/4, SW/4 Section 2

T 25, R 6E - Section 3

T 25, R 6E - Section 4

T 25, R 6E - NE/4, SW/4, SE/4, Section 5

T 25, R 6E - SE/4, Section 6

T 25, R 6E - Section 7

T 25, R 6E - Section 8

T 25, R 6E - Section 9
T 25, R 6E - Section 10
T 25, R 6E - NW/4 Section 15
T 25, R 6E - Section 17
T 26, R 6E - Section 1
T 26, R 6E - NE, NW, Section 9
T 26, R 6E - NE/4, NW/4, SE/4, Section 10
T 26, R 6E - Section 11
T 26, R 6E - Section 12
T 26, R 6E - Section 13
T 26, R 6E - Section 14
T 26, R 6E - NE/4, SE/4 Section 15
T 26, R 6E - Section 22
T 26, R 6E - Section 23
T 26, R 6E - SW/4 Section 26
T 26, R 6E - Section 27
T 26, R 6E - NE 4, SE/4 Section 33
T 26, R 6E - Section 34
T 26, R 6E - NW/4, SW/4 Section 35
T 27, R 6E - Section 36